SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

RICHARD F. STOKES

JUDGE

SUSSEX COUNTY COURTHOUSE 1 THE CIRCLE, SUITE 2 GEORGETOWN, DE 19947 TELEPHONE (302) 856-5264

Craig A. Karsnitz, Esquire Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP

110 West Pine Street

P.O. Box 594

Georgetown, Delaware 19947

Brian L. Kasprzak, Esquire Marc Sposato, Esquire

Marks, O'Neil, O'Brien, Doherty &

Kelley, P.C.

300 Delaware Avenue, #900 Wilmington, Delaware 19801

RE: Maureen Jordan and Nina Jordan, a minor, by and through Maureen Jordan, Guardian Ad Litem and Next Friend v. Indian River School District and Lisa A. McVey, C.A. No. S14C-02-036 RFS

Date Submitted: August 12, 2014 Date Decided: August 12, 2014

Upon Defendants' Motion for Reargument. **DENIED**

Dear Counsel:

The Defendants' Motion for Reargument pursuant to Superior Court Civil Rule 59(e) is **DENIED**. Neither the law nor the facts have been misunderstood; the reargument is simply re-litigating a previously rejected argument that is not appropriate at this juncture.¹

¹ Wilmington Trust Co. v. Nix, 2002 WL 356371 at *3 (Del. Super. Feb. 21, 2002) ("A motion for reargument should not be used merely to rehash arguments already decided by the Court.").

Cases of this type presented here are fact intensive. Negligence with a

ministerial act or gross negligence with a discretionary act may give rise to

responsibility. The Complaint is sufficient for the litigation to proceed normally.

Once a fully developed record is made, the subject may be revisited, if indicated,

through summary judgment.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Richard F. Stokes

Richard F. Stokes

pc:

Prothonotary

2