

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

STATE OF DELAWARE,

v.

SAVION JONES,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)

ID No. 2106013860

ORDER

On this 21st day of September 2026, upon consideration of Savion Jones's ("Defendant") *pro se* motion for Sentence Modification,¹ the sentence imposed upon Defendant, and the record in this case, it appears to the Court that:

1. On August 11, 2026, the Defendant was found in violation of his probation for assault in the third degree.² Defendant was sentenced to 1 year of Level V time, suspended immediately for 8 months of Level IV home confinement, to be suspended upon the completion of a domestic violence course, with no probation to follow.³

2. On August 28, 2026, Defendant filed a *pro se* motion requesting that the Court provide an "alternative" to house arrest.⁴ The basis for Defendant's request was that Defendant completed his requirements excepting a \$450 fee assessed "on completion of [the mandatory] domestic violence class".⁵

¹ Docket Item (hereinafter "D.I.") 30 (hereinafter "Mot.") in Case ID No. 2106013860. All references to the docket herein refer to Case ID No. 2106013860.

² See D.I. 29.

³ See D.I. 29.

⁴ Mot. p. 1.


⁵ *Id.*

3. The Court considers motions for modification of sentence under Rule 35(b). Before addressing the merits of a motion, the Court first considers the applicable procedural bars.⁶ There are no applicable procedural bars, and thus the Court considers the Motion on the merits.

4. The Motion is denied. Defendant's parole officer was informed that Defendant showed a "continuous pattern of failing to move forward with treatment" and failed to appear at multiple classes.⁷ Defendant's sentence was appropriate at the time of sentencing. The Court imposed the sentence after a thorough review of the nature of the violation and the sentencing information available on the record, and the Court declines to recalibrate an appropriate sentence on the basis articulated by Defendant.

5. Accordingly, Defendant's Motion for Sentence Modification is **DENIED.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Sheldon K. Rennie, Judge

⁶ *State v. Redden*, 111 A.3d 602, 606 (Del. Super. 2015).

⁷ D.I. 26 at 3 ("Child Inc. confirmed that Mr. Jones failed to report for his orientation on the following dates since being resentenced: 09/08/2025, 11/17/2025, 02/02/2026, 04/20/2026, 05/04/2026, and 06/22/2026. On 06/08/2026 Mr. Jones did show up for his orientation session, but failed to stay the required two hours, only staying for approximately ten minutes.").